U"

PAUL LEE BOX 2000 WHITE DEER, PA. 17887

August 8, 2000

Honorable Judge Kane, United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennslyvania Federal Building, 228 Walnut Street Box 868 Harrisburg, Pa. 17108

FILED HARRISBURG, PA

AUG 1 0 2000

RE: 1:00-cv00486

Alge Man / PRSLC Believe Closed

MARY E. D'STOPREA, CLERK

Closed

Dear Honorable Judge Kane.

I contacted the clerk office concerning the status of my "Motion for Reconsideration." The clerk informed me that this case is close from the order in April 26, 2000. With all due respect, Jdge kane, I feel that your actions has cause prejudices to my case by not allowing the plaintiff or the parties to argue this claim. I feel that since you are not an parties of the action, that your decision was hastily made without the benefit of input from the plaintiff, or the parties of this action.

Therefore, with all due respect to the Honorable Court, plaintiff respectfully request that his Civil Complaint should be reinstated, for the reasons within plaintiff "Motion for Reconsideration."

Respectfully submitted,

BY:

Paul Lee, in pro-se Box 2000 01656-087 White deer, Pa. 17887 I.800.278. 3288 Telephone 1. 800. 572. 4403 Facsimile

Filed on May 4, 2000, by depositing the same in the institutional mailbox. Houston v. Lack, ___ US __ (1989).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PAUL LEE,

Plaintiff,

v.

1:CV-00-0486

UNITED STATES, et al, Defendants.

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION PURSUANT TO F.R.Cv.P. 59 AND/OR PURSUANT TO F.R.Cv.P. 60

Plaintiff Paul Lee ("Lee"), in pro per, moves this Court to reconsider its Order entered April 26, 2000:

In its April 26, 2000, Order, this Court dismissed the instant suit sua sponte for failing to exhaust administrative remedies under both the Federal Tort Claims Act and the Federal Bureau of Prisons' administrative remedy procedure. The Court did so without first issuing an Order to Show Cause which prevented Lee from providing affirmative evidence on the issue.

As shown by the attached exhibits, Lee filed a claim under the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 USC §2671, et seq. The claim was denied on September 9, 1999, prior to the filing of the instant Complaint. Additionally, Lee filed all three required administrative remedies at FBOP Adm. Rem. 192485. The final remedy response is also attached hereto.

Therefore, with all due respect, the Court's decision was hastily made without the benefit of input from the Plaintiff. In light of the evidence as attached hereto, it is clear that the decision was factually erroneous.

Lee further advises the Court that once reconsideration is granted and this suit reinstated, he will file an Amended Complaint which will address the issues in a more clear and detailed manner. The imminent Amended Complaint will also allege the exhaustion of remedies. Lee, of course, needs not obtain authorization prior to filing his Amended Complaint, F.R.Cv.P. 15(a), and will do so within twenty (20) days of the anticipated reinstatement.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Paul Lee respectfully requests that the suit be reinstated.

Respectfully submitted,

· By:

Paul Lee, in pro per Box 2000 01656.087 White Deer, PA 17887 1.800.278.3288 Telephone 1.800.572.4403 Facsimile

PLAINTIFF

U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Prisons

besteral Carrectional Institution, Beebler

Betree Rest French 253.43

CERTIFIED

September 9, 1999

Paul Lee

Reg. No. 01656-087 FC1 Allenwood

White Deer. PA 17887 P.O. Box 2500

Re: Administrative Tort Claim Number T-MXR-99-357

Dear Mr. Lee:

28 U.S.C. § 2671, et. seq., and authority granted under 28 C.F.R. § 0.172. You claim government 14, 1997, while housed at FCI Beckley, you were forced to sleep on a hard metal steel bed which Your claim has been considered for administrative settlement under the Federal Tort Claims Act. liability in the amount of \$2,500,000.00 for personal injury. Specifically, you claim that on July caused bursitis and arthritis in your hip.

As no act or omission of a Government employee was a factor in your injury. your claim is denied This letter is a final denial of your claim. If you are not satisfied with the determination, you have While at FCI Beckley you were provided a mattresses to sleep on. There is no evidence that your degenerative arthritis and bursitis are attributed to sleeping on a hard metal surface, as you allege. six months from the date of the mailing of this notification to bring suit in an appropriate United States District Court, should you wish to do so.

Sincerely.

Samo

Regional Counsel Bill Burlineton

LAST NAME, PIKST, MIDDLE MALLEL

Mainistrative Remedy No. 192485-Al Part B - Response

Appeal in which you allege the medical care and treatment you are receiving for your hip pain is not appropriate. You also This is the response to your Central Office Administrative Remedy disagree with the need to carry a cane to alleviate your pain.

condidate for a hip replacement, but have been offered a cane and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) to ease your pain. NSAID injections are degenerative arthritis. Should you wish to recensider receiving tratment, you should make your needs known by utilizing normal The record reflects Health Services staff have provided you medical care and treatment in accordance with Discussion with FCI Allenwood medical staff indicates you have Considered standard treatment to decrease pain associated with been diagnosed with having degenerative arthritis of the left hip: The Clinical Director has determined you are not a You state the injection given at a prior You have refused the use of a cane and non-steroidal antiinstitution resulted in increased hip pain. sick call procedures. inflammatory drugs.

Accordingly, we concur with the findings and decisions of the Warden and Regional Director that the medical care and treatment Bureau policy.

Your Central Office Administrative Remedy Appeal is denied you have received is appropriate.

Administrator Inmate Appeals Nationa